

# INSTRUMENT AND METHOD FOR METROLOGY

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

### 1. Field of the Invention

**[0001]** The present invention relates to a metrology instrument and metrology method for inspecting whether elements of a pattern are stitched correctly at their joint within an image and, if there is a deviation between them, for measuring the amount of the deviation.

### 2. Description of the Related Art

**[0002]** Electron beam lithography methods include the block exposure method (also known as the cell projection method) using a mask having various kinds of apertures for improving the throughput. In particular, one of the apertures is selected, and an electron beam is partially illuminated onto a target through the aperture to transfer a desired pattern. In this method, pattern sections formed by the partial illumination are successively stitched together, thus forming the whole pattern. Therefore, the stitching accuracy for the pattern must be high. It is necessary to measure the amount of deviation at each stitching boundary.

**[0003]** Examples of shapes of stitched portions of patterns are shown in Figs. 2(a)-2(e). Fig. 2(a) shows the upper half 26 of a pattern to be stitched. Fig. 2(b) shows the lower half 27 of the pattern. Fig. 2(c) shows the manner in which the upper half 26 and the lower half 27 of the pattern have been normally stitched to form a straight pattern (transferred pattern) 28. Fig. 2(d) shows the manner in which the upper and lower straight portions of a pattern are not correctly stitched at a joint or a boundary 24 but form a distorted transferred pattern 28. Fig. 2(e) shows the manner in which the upper portion 26 and the lower portion 27 of a pattern are stitched at a joint with deviations  $\Delta X$  and  $\Delta Y$  in the X- and Y-directions, respectively, to indicate their relations to the transferred pattern 28. This transferred pattern 28 shows a pattern actually formed on a wafer. On the other hand, the upper portion 26 and the lower portion 27 of the pattern can be considered to show elements of a prototypic pattern to be formed. Accordingly, in Fig. 2(e), the actually formed transferred pattern 28 is indicated by solid lines, while the upper portion 26 and the lower portion 27 of the pattern which indicate the elements of the prototypic pattern are indicated by broken lines. A straight boundary line 24 running along the joint or the stitching part is also shown.

**[0004]** The edges of the formed pattern become blunted because of scattering of electrons within the photosensitive material. This phenomenon is known as the proximity effect, which is illustrated diagrammatically in Fig. 3. Primary electrons 2 emitted from an electron gun

(not shown) are made to hit a photosensitive material 18 on a substrate 17. The primary electrons 1 penetrate into the photosensitive material 18 according to the energies that the electrons possess, go through the layer of this photosensitive material 18, and even reach the substrate 17. In electron-beam lithography equipment, an accelerating voltage of 50 keV is normally used. During this process, the photosensitive material 18 is subjected to multipath exposure by elastic scatterings of electrons including forward scattering 19 caused in the photosensitive material 18 and backward scattering 20 caused on the substrate 17. As a result, the edges of the formed pattern become blunted (less sharp).

[0005] In measuring the stitching accuracy at the joint of such elements of a pattern, the following problem takes place. As shown in Fig. 2(e), the amount of horizontal deviation  $\Delta X$  (i.e., deviation normal to the pattern shape) can be accurately calculated if the edges of the pattern are detected at the upper portion 26 and the lower portion 27 that are stitched. On the other hand, the amount of vertical deviation  $\Delta Y$  (i.e., deviation parallel to the pattern shape) cannot be easily measured because the edges of the transferred pattern 28 are blunted by the aforementioned proximity effect.

[0006] In measuring the stitching accuracy at such a pattern joint by one conventional technique, verniers 21 and 22 extending in the X- and Y-directions, respectively, are transferred inside and outside a rectangular pattern as shown in Fig. 4. As shown in Fig. 5, the stitching deviation (or error)  $\Delta X$  at the joint 24 of the patterns 23a and 23b is calculated from the results of detection of the edges of the two patterns 23a and 23b using line profiles 25a and 25b that are derived from scanning waveforms by detecting secondary electrons.

[0007] Another conventional method uses two transferred box-shaped patterns, i.e., an outer box-shaped pattern 29 and an inner box-shaped pattern 30, of different sizes as shown in Fig. 7(a). The relative positional relations ( $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ ) between the transferred outer box-shaped pattern 29 and inner box-shaped pattern 30 are measured, as shown in Fig. 7(b). Then, the stitching accuracy is calculated. This is known as the box-in-box method.

[0008] With any of the two conventional methods described above, however, it is necessary to previously make a sample pattern used for measurement of the deviation at the joint. An exorbitantly long time is taken to readjust the electron-beam lithography equipment for eliminating the stitching error. Consequently, it is impossible to measure the stitching error by the use of an actual logic pattern.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0009] It is an object of the present invention to provide a metrology instrument which solves the foregoing problems with the prior art techniques, does not need to make any sample pattern for measurement of a stitching deviation, or a stitching error, is capable of measuring the stitching error with an actual logic pattern, and provides stable metrology reproducibility.

[0010] A metrology instrument that achieves this object in accordance with the teachings of the invention comprises: a means for obtaining an image pattern for inspection, the image pattern having a stitching part at which a pair of elements of the image pattern is stitched each other along a straight boundary line extending along the stitching part; a  $\Delta X$ -measuring device for measuring deviation  $\Delta X$  between stitched elements of the image pattern along the straight boundary line; a storing means for storing two sets of data about images indicating dose distributions in memory, the dose distributions being calculated by a simulation method under the condition that an energetic beam used when the elements of the pattern are transferred should be applied; an image superimposing means for shifting one of the images indicating the dose distributions by the  $\Delta X$  along the straight boundary line and by a desired amount  $\Delta Y$  in a direction vertical to the straight boundary line relatively to the other and superimposing both of the images indicating the dose distributions; and an image comparator for taking the correlation between image data obtained for the inspection and image data produced by the superimposing by comparing these two kinds of image data.

[0011] The above-described object is also achieved in accordance with the teachings of the invention by a metrology method comprising the steps of: obtaining an image pattern for inspection, said image pattern having a stitching part at which a pair of elements of the image pattern is stitched each other along a straight boundary line extending along the stitching part; measuring deviation  $\Delta X$  between stitched elements of the image pattern along the straight boundary line; storing two sets of data about images indicating dose distributions in memory, the dose distributions being calculated by a simulation method under condition that an energetic beam used when the elements of the pattern are transferred should be applied; shifting one of the images indicating the dose distributions by the  $\Delta X$  along a straight boundary line and by a desired amount  $\Delta Y$  in a direction vertical to the straight boundary line relatively to the other and superimposing both of the dose distributions images; and taking the correlation between image data obtained for said inspection and image data produced by the superimposing by comparing these two kinds of image data.

[0012] Other objects and features of the invention will appear in the course of the description thereof, which follows.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0013] Fig. 1 is a diagram illustrating the structure of a metrology instrument in accordance with the present invention;

[0014] Figs. 2(a)-2(e) are diagrams illustrating the state of the joint of stitched elements of a pattern when a lithographic or pattern transfer process is performed;

[0015] Fig. 3 is a diagram illustrating the proximity effect;

[0016] Fig. 4 is a diagram illustrating one conventional method;

[0017] Fig. 5 is a diagram illustrating the operation of the conventional method illustrated in Fig. 4;

[0018] Figs. 6(a)-6(c) are a diagram illustrating the operation of a metrology instrument in accordance with the present invention;

[0019] Figs. 7(a) and 7(b) are a diagram illustrating another conventional method;

[0020] Fig. 8 is a diagram illustrating one example of image within an electron dose distribution file in accordance with the present invention; and

[0021] Fig. 9 is a flowchart illustrating one example of a metrology/inspection sequence in accordance with the present invention.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0022] A scanning electron microscope (SEM) in accordance with the present invention is described in detail by referring to Fig. 1. This microscope has an electron gun 1 emitting primary electrons 2 which are passed through various electron lenses (not shown) and focused onto a specimen 5 by an objective lens 3. This specimen 5 is held on a specimen stage 4. The focused primary electron beam is scanned in the X- and Y-directions by an XY scanner (not shown). Secondary electrons 6 released from the specimen 5 are detected by a detector 7. An image is created from the output signal from the detector 7 by an image accumulation means, signal amplification means, or the like in an image creator 8. The created image is stored in an accepted image file 9.



producing a deformation at the joint 24. Fig. 6(c) shows an image obtained by superimposing together the images shown in Fig. 6(a). Image data of this superimposed image is stored in the superimposed image file 16.

**[0025]** The  $\Delta X$ -measuring device 11 detects the edges of the upper and lower portions of the pattern shown in Fig. 6(b), which is stored in the accepted image file 9, and measures the deviation  $\Delta X$  in the X-direction. On the other hand, calculated electron dose distributions of the upper portion 26 and the lower portion 27 of the pattern as shown in Fig. 6(a) are stored in the electron dose distribution file 15.

**[0026]** The image superimposer 12 takes the calculated electron dose distributions of the upper and lower portions of the pattern out of the electron dose distribution file 15. The pattern has the same width with that of the image stored in the accepted image file 9. Assuming that the positional relations between the upper and lower portions in the X- and Y-directions are given by deviations  $\Delta X$  and  $\Delta Y$ , respectively, the two images are superimposed together, as shown in Fig. 6(c). The resulting image is stored in the superimposed image file 16. At this time, the deviation  $\Delta X$  is indicated by the output from the  $\Delta X$ -measuring device. In the initial calculation, the deviation  $\Delta Y$  is an arbitrary value. This deviation  $\Delta Y$  is updated according to the output  $\Delta Y$  from the decision-and- $\Delta Y$ -setting device 14 as described later.

**[0027]** The electron dose distributions stored in the dose distribution file 15 can be calculated based on a well-known calculational means (e.g., "Proximity effect in electron-beam lithography", J. Vac. Sci. Technol., Vol. 12, No. 6, 1975) as given by Eq. (1).

$$I(x_0, y_0) = \int_x \int_y G(x, y) \cdot W(x_0 - x, y_0 - y) dx dy \quad (1)$$

where  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are the coordinates of a point at which the electron dose should be found,  $x$  and  $y$  are the coordinates of a point hit by an electron beam,  $I$  is the electron dose,  $G$  is a transfer function, and  $W$  is a density distribution function. The shape of the pattern is indicated by the transfer function  $G$ . The amount of the electron dose at a position that is at a distance from the beam-hit position is given by the density function  $W$ . Since Eq. (1) above for calculation of electron dose distributions involves double integration, which needs a long computational time. Therefore, it is impossible to perform the present calculation in real time, for controlling the process. In the metrology instrument 10 in accordance with the present invention, however, the electron dose distributions are calculated in off time. Only the output indicating the results is stored in the electron dose distribution file 15. It is not necessary to perform the calculation in real time.

[0028] Fig. 6(c) shows one example of image data stored in the superimposed image file 16. In this figure, the solid lines indicate the results of passage of the electron dose distribution through a filter simulating the developing characteristics of a photosensitive material. The output from the filter is assumed to be zero if the electron dose is under a given threshold value  $I_0$ . Accordingly, the solid lines of Fig. 6(c) indicate a pattern that will be formed in practice.

[0029] The image comparator 13 takes the correlation  $Er$  between the image data in the accepted image file 9 and the image data in the superimposed image file 16. The correlation  $Er$  is represented by the sum of the squares of differences as given by Eq. (2) below.

$$Er = \sum_{x,y} (Iin(x, y) - Is(x, y))^2 \quad (2)$$

where  $x, y$  indicate coordinates and are discretized to take the sum,  $Iin$  indicates the amount of luminance of the aforementioned accepted image file, and  $Is$  indicates the amount of luminance of the superimposed image file.

[0030] The decision-and- $\Delta Y$ -setting device 14 makes a decision as to whether the correlation  $Er$  is less than a certain value  $\omega$ . If the result of the decision is NO, the device 14 updates the deviation  $\Delta Y$  and reinputs the deviation value into the image superimposer 12. Using the updated deviation  $\Delta Y$ , the image superimposer 12 superimposes together the electron dose distribution diagrams corresponding to the upper portion 26 and the lower portion 27, respectively, of the pattern and stores the result in the superimposed image file 16. This sequence of operations is repeated until the correlation  $Er$  becomes lower than the certain value  $\omega$ . If the correlation  $Er$  is lower than the certain value  $\omega$ , updating of the deviation  $\Delta Y$  is ended. The amounts of deviations  $\Delta X$  and  $\Delta Y$  at the junction are output.

[0031] The deviation  $\Delta Y$  is updated, for example, as given by

$$\Delta Y = \alpha \cdot \sqrt{Er} + \Delta Yp \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a coefficient and  $\Delta Yp$  is the value of the deviation  $\Delta Y$  assumed before the updating.

[0032] In the method described above, the process is repeated while updating the deviation  $\Delta Y$  until the correlation  $Er$  becomes lower than the certain value  $\omega$ , to determine the deviation  $\Delta Y$ . Alternatively, the correlation  $Er$  may be found from plural values of  $\Delta Y$  within a given range, and the deviation  $\Delta Y$  that minimizes the value of the correlation  $Er$  may be found.

[0033] Furthermore, in the method described above, an image obtained by superimposing together the upper portion 26 and the lower portion 27 of the pattern is stored in the superimposed image file 16. This storing operation is not always necessary.

[0034] In addition, for the sake of illustration, the upper portion 26 of the pattern, lower portion 27, X-direction, Y-direction,  $\Delta X$ ,  $\Delta Y$ , etc. are defined in relation to the vertically straight pattern as shown in Fig. 2. Similarly, the left portion 26 of the pattern, the right portion 27, etc. may be defined in relation to a horizontally straight pattern. To avoid complicating the explanation, however, the vertically extending pattern represents various patterns.

[0035] The above-described sequence of operations can be summarized into the following steps (1)-(6):

[0036] (1) The electron dose distribution diagrams of the upper portion 26 and the lower portion 27, respectively, of the pattern are calculated and stored in the electron dose distribution file 15.

[0037] (2) An SEM image for inspection is obtained.

[0038] (3) The deviation  $\Delta X$  between the portions of the pattern parallel to the joint portion 24 is measured from the SEM image for inspection.

[0039] (4) One of the electron dose distribution diagrams of the upper portion 26 and the lower portion 27 of the pattern is shifted by  $\Delta X$  and a desired value  $\Delta Y$ , relatively to the other, and then the diagrams are superimposed together to form a superimposed image.

[0040] (5) The image for inspection and the superimposed image are compared, and the correlation  $E_r$  between them is found.

[0041] (6) The value of the deviation  $\Delta Y$  which minimizes the correlation value  $E_r$  (i.e., maximizes the degree of similarity) or which brings the correlation value  $E_r$  to within the given value  $\omega$  is found.

[0042] It is to be noted that the order in which these steps are carried out is not limited to the order described above. For example, steps (1) and (2) can be interchanged in order. One example of sequence of operations in which the initial value of the deviation  $\Delta Y$  is set to 0 and the deviation  $\Delta Y$  is found allowing the correlation value  $E_r$  within the given value  $\omega$  is illustrated in the flowchart of Fig. 9.

[0043] In the description provided thus far, the present invention is applied to a metrology instrument and method in which images obtained by a scanning electron microscope



are used. The present invention is not restricted thereto. For example, the invention can be applied to all metrology instruments and methods using images obtained by the use of light or the like. Moreover, in the description given above, a method using an electron beam is used in drawing and transferring a pattern. Obviously, the invention can also be applied to other methods of finding the deviations between elements of a pattern using an energetic beam such as light and calculating the dose distributions of the used energetic beam over the elements.

**[0044]** As described thus far, the metrology instrument in accordance with the present invention comprises: a means for obtaining an image pattern for inspection, said image pattern having a stitching part at which a pair of elements of said image pattern is stitched each other along a straight boundary line including said stitching part; a  $\Delta X$ -measuring device for measuring the deviation  $\Delta X$  between stitched elements of the image pattern along the straight boundary line; a storing means for storing two sets of data about images indicating dose distributions in memory, the dose distributions being calculated by a simulation method under the condition that an energetic beam used when the elements of the pattern are transferred should be applied; an image superimposing means for shifting one of the images indicating the dose distribution by  $\Delta X$  along said straight boundary line and by a desired amount  $\Delta Y$  in a direction vertical to said straight boundary line relatively to the other and superimposing together the images; and an image comparator for taking the correlation between image data obtained for said inspection and image data produced by the superimposing by comparing these two kinds of image data.

**[0045]** The metrology method in accordance with the present invention comprises the steps of: obtaining an image pattern for inspection, said image pattern having a stitching part at which a pair of elements of said image pattern is stitched each other along a straight boundary line including said stitching part; measuring the deviation  $\Delta X$  between stitched elements of the image pattern along the straight boundary line; storing two sets of data about images indicating dose distributions in memory, said dose distributions being calculated by a simulation method under the condition that an energetic beam used when the elements of the pattern are transferred should be applied; shifting one of the dose distribution images by the  $\Delta X$  along the straight boundary line and by a desired amount  $\Delta Y$  in a direction vertical to the straight boundary line relatively to the other and superimposing both of the dose distribution images; and taking the correlation between image data obtained for the inspection and image data produced by the superimposing by comparing these two kinds of image data.

**[0046]** As a result, the following advantages can be derived:

[0047] (a) The amounts of deviations  $\Delta X$  and  $\Delta Y$  can be measured from the shape of the deformed joint.

[0048] (b) It is not necessary to create a sample pattern for measurement as shown in Fig. 4 or 7, in order to measure the amounts of deviations at the joint.

[0049] (c) Since calculations of electron dose distributions which need a large time-consumption are carried out in off time, the present invention permits measurements to be performed in real time without time-consuming computations.

[0050] As used in the following claims, the terms "minimizes the correlations" means to "maximize the degree of similarity."

Patent Center